


REMARKS

In the office action the Examiner rejected claims 33-39. For reasons previously stated, Applicant believes that claims 33-39 are neither anticipated by nor obvious in light of the prior art. However, for reasons of efficiency and economy, Applicant elects to cancel those claims without prejudice. Claim 40 was indicated by the Examiner to be allowable. Claims 41-46 depend on an allowable base claim and should also be considered allowable.

Formal drawings are also being submitted.

Applicant believes that all issues relating to patentability are resolved and requests favorable consideration of the application.

Respectfully submitted this 8th day of October, 2003.



Daniel P. McCarthy
Reg. No. 36,600
PARSONS, BEHLE & LATIMER
201 South Main Street, Suite 1800
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
(801) 532-1234